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## FRAMEWORK PLAN FOR GENDER

## Preamble

"A democratic and open society offers all people equal opportunities to develop and fulfill their own conceptions and approaches on how to best lead their lives."

Johannes Gutenberg University (JGU) is fully committed to this social objective, as formulated in the introductory sentence of the 'Zweiter Gleichstellungsbericht der Bundesregierung' (Second Gender Equality Report of the German Federal Government) of 2012. Scholarship can only optimally flourish in a milieu in which extraneous criteria are irrelevant, in which a diversity of perspectives stimulates creativity, and in which knowledge, skills, experience, and talents can be optimally developed and deployed in research, teaching and the support of scholarship. Direct or indirect discrimination against individuals on
the basis of individual characteristics unrelated to merit stands in opposition to this tenet.

Gender equality and the elimination of underrepresentation of women, in particular, thus represent both a legal mandate and a self-imposed commitment for JGU. Moreover, in its efforts to attract leading academic talent, it is also in the best interests of the university not to deprive itself of outstanding women because these may be subject to structural disadvantages or other obstacles unrelated to merit.

Despite many years of active commitment at JGU to effectively achieve equal opportunities regardless of gender, women are still seriously underrepresented in many areas of the university, revealing continuing deficits in equality. The university must and is committed to reducing such deficits wherever possible. This will be achieved as follows:

- Selection procedures on the basis of merit must be optimized and implemented in a transparent manner
- Injunctions prohibiting discrimination in teaching, research, and administration must be complied with, as specified in Article 3 of the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany (Grundgesetz, GG) and
- Appropriate support measures must be implemented to counteract the consequences of equality deficits during education prior to university, to the extent that university can provide the requisite resources.

In addition, JGU recognizes that gender research as a field of academic reflection on the interrelationships that affect gender relations in society is one of the indispensable fields of research at a comprehensive university, in particular as such
research provides the foundations for formulating adequate equality measures.

The focus of active equality efforts at JGU is on dismantling structural factors that impede equal participation of people of different gender, helping all of its members to reconcile work and family commitments and the implementation of measures to specifically promote the participation of women. To guarantee the requisite long-term advisory services, development of measures and implementation control, JGU has put in place certain structures, which require further consolidation.

Gender equality is also understood to be an important mainstreaming task for all areas, which must be taken into account in all centralized and decentralized decisions (gender mainstreaming as defined in Section 4 (2) of the Hochschulgesetz (HochSchG)).

To achieve true gender equality in the university as rapidly and comprehensively as possible (Section 4 (1) HochSchG), JGU is committed to implementing the following framework plan (Section 4 (10) and Section 76 (2) no. 16 HochSchG).

## Requirements and targets

The following superordinate mandated targets are legally stipulated:

- The elimination of structural discrimination on the basis of gender (Article 3 (2) GG and Section 7 (1) German General Law on Equal Treatment (Allgemeines Gleichbehandlungsgesetz, AGG))
- The elimination of underrepresentation of women (Section 3 (8) of the Landesgleichstellungsgesetz (LGG)) in leading positions in academia and administration
- Protection against sexual harassment and sexualized violence (Section 4 (10) HochSchG).
These stipulations have engendered JGU's fundamental equality policy targets, as follows:
Target 1 Equality is to be institutionally anchored as a mainstreaming task for all areas and is understood to be an aspect of quality assurance (Section 5 (2), sentence 5 HochSchG).
Target 2 Selection and assessment processes are to be made transparent, systematic and free of bias.
Target 3 Family-friendly working and study conditions are to be established, making JGU, both internally and externally, an attractive employer and higher education institution.

Target 4 The proportion of women at higher career levels, insofar as women are underrepresented, is to be made at least equal to that proportion at the next lower career level, again insofar as women are also underrepresented at this level.
Target 5 In subjects in which the proportion of male or female students does not exceed one third, measures are to be established to inform members of the underrepresented gender of the attractiveness and relevance of the subject at an early stage of education (especially at school level) and to eliminate gen-der-specific prejudices.
Target 6 Young researchers are to be selected and promoted on the basis of transparent criteria within the framework of institutional structures that minimize individual dependencies.

Target 7 A low-threshold, confidential and gen-der-sensitive information and initial advising service for victims of sexual harassment or violence will be established, and the availability of this is to be made readily available to all members of the university.

Hence, women's advancement is to be effected indirectly or directly by means of measures

- Designed to prevent explicit or implicit bias in selection and assessment processes
- To facilitate reconciliation of the demands of study, work and family life
- To further the career development of junior staff in academia and administration
- To increase the proportion of women in areas where they are underrepresented, especially in leading positions in academia and administration and on university committees.

Concrete measures to achieve these targets are to be defined in a centralized equality strategy (annex 1) and in decentralized target agreements/ equality plans.

## Responsibilities

JGU's equality policy targets can only be achieved through active participation of each of the university's academic and administrative divisions. If required, decentralized tasks are to be coordinated with centralized support, and periodically evaluated and supplemented by centralized measures. This yields different responsibilities for centralized and decentralized bodies and institutions of the university.

The tasks of the centralized bodies and institutions include, in particular:

- The creation, periodic evaluation and updating of the centralized framework plan for equality (Section 4 (10) and Section 76 (2) no. 16 HochSchG)
- The conclusion of service agreements on equality issues with the Staff Council
- The appointment of a centralized Equality Commissioner along with her deputies and a Senate Committee on Gender Equality (Section 4 (4), Section 76 (2) no. 16 HochSchG)
- The provision of sufficient personnel and material resources for the centralized processing of tasks related to equal opportunities as well as sufficient time, personnel and material resources for the work of the centralized Equality Commissioner and her deputies, including support for her activities (Section 4 (6) HochSchG)
- The provision of substantive data on the status and development of women's participation in all areas of employment and activity at JGU (Equality in Figures / Gender Monitoring)
- The creation and updating of guidelines for transparent and bias-free personnel selection processes (Section 43 (5) and (6) HochSchG; at present: Guidelines for appointments to professorships at JGU dated 2014; guidelines for management personnel: Professional personnel selection at JGU dated 2013)
- The continued development of policies and measures to help reconcile the demands of work, study, and family
- The recognition of issues of equality - in particular the goal of increasing the proportion of women in leading positions, insofar as they are underrepresented - in personnel development (Section 43 (5) HochSchG in conjunction with Section 3 (8) LGG)
- The provision of a centralized service or the support of decentralized services to advance the career development of young female researchers
- The guaranteed provision of information and initial advising services for those affected by sexual harassment or sexualized violence (Section 4 (10) HochSchG and Senate Directive of 29 April 2022 on protection against sexual harassment)
- The establishment of target agreements (Section 43 (6) sentence 1 HochSchG) for effective and sustainable implementation of the equality policy guidelines with the departments/faculties and institutions of higher education in the arts, as well as control and support of their implementation (Section 3 (8) LGG)
- The provision of information on the applicable principles of gender-inclusive language and the sensitization of members of the university to the relevance of gender-sensitive language.

The duties of the departments, faculties and higher education institutions in the arts include, in particular:

- The preparation, periodic review and revision of target agreements (Section 43 (6) HochSchG) on gender equality or decentralized equality plans with the goal of depart-ment-specific implementation of the equality policy targets of JGU, taking into account all guidelines issued for this purpose and centrally adopted measures
- The appointment of decentralized equality commissioners and their provision with sufficient resources, in terms of time and materials, for them to be able to effectively perform their tasks (Section 4 (8), Section 86 (2) no. 16 HochSchG).

The preparation, periodic review and updating of the target agreements/decentralized equality plans are subject to the following procedure:

- The departments, faculties and higher education institutions for the arts are to present an initial draft of a target agreement / equality plan to the Senate Committee on Gender Equality. The Senate Committee shall submit the draft to the Executive University Board with a recommendation on whether it should be approved or revised.
- The departments, faculties and institutions of higher education in the arts shall prepare a report every three years on the state of implementation of their target agreement/equality plan. The report is the joint responsibility of the dean and/or the rector and the respective decentralized equality commissioners.
- The deans or rectors shall present their reports to the Senate Committee on Gender Equality.
- If the report identifies deficits in implementation of the target agreement/equality plan, it must be supplemented with proposals for the adaptation of procedures and measures to overcome obstacles to implementation.
- The Senate Committee shall forward the report and the proposals for updating the target agreement/equality plan along with its recommendations to the Executive University Board.


## Entry into force

This framework plan shall take effect on the day following its adoption by the Senate.

## Annexes

I Centralized equality strategy: Catalog of measures

II Recent data on the status of equality at JGU: "Equality in Figures"
[Adopted by the JGU Senate on 13 Dec. 2019.]

## Centralized

## Equality Strategy:

Catalog of Measures


Annex I to the Framework Plan for Gender Equality at Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz (JGU)

## Foreword

This catalog of measures is based on the overarching equality targets formulated in the Framework Plan for Gender Equality at JGU:
Target 1 Equality is to be institutionally anchored as a mainstreaming task for all areas and is to be understood as an issue of quality assurance.
Target 2 Selection and assessment processes are to be made transparent, systematic and free of bias.
Target 3 Family-friendly working and study conditions will be further developed, making JGU, both internally and externally, an attractive employer and higher education institution.
Target 4 The proportion of women at higher career levels at JGU, insofar as women are underrepresented at a level, is to be made at least equal to that proportion at the next lower career level, again insofar as women are also underrepresented at this level.
Target 5 In subjects in which the proportion of male or female students does not exceed one third, measures are to be established to inform members of the underrepresented gender of the attractiveness and relevance of the subject at
an early stage of education and to eliminate gender-specific prejudices.
Target 6 Young researchers are to be selected and promoted in accordance with transparent criteria within the framework of institutional structures that minimize individual dependencies.
Target 7 A low-threshold, confidential and gen-der-sensitive information and initial advising service for victims of sexual harassment or violence will be established, and the availability of this is to be made readily available to all members of the university.

The catalog of measures is structured into fields of action that address the concerns of the various stakeholder groups. For each field of action, the current situation with regard to equality is to be first outlined and the superordinate targets for action defined. The analysis of the current situation is based on the data on the status of equality at JGU provided annually by the JGU's Reporting unit ("Equality in Figures"). Emphasis is placed on trends relevant to gender equality that can be observed throughout the university, as such trends may inform the equality plans of the faculties and higher education institutions of the arts, the centralized administrative offices and the other academic institutions of JGU. In the following, specific sub-targets are defined, associated opportunities
for the university identified, and measures listed that are already currently being implemented as well those that are planned for the near future to achieve the targets together with the names of those centrally responsible for each.

Emphasis is also placed on additional resources that may be required to implement planned measures (however, the additional time resources required for existing personnel are not specified). A considerable share of the measures currently being implemented is subject to the provision of financing (project funding).

The catalog is to be updated based on the modalities specified in the framework plan.

## 1. Implementation structures

Gender equality at JGU is, ultimately, the responsibility of the Executive University Board. It is supported by the "Equality and Diversity" Office (GuD), which is directly answerable to the President. Furthermore, the Equality Commissioner and her deputies are also responsible for assessing university processes and structures intended to implement gender equality requirements, for identifying any need for change and discussing appropriate proposals with the Executive University Board and other centralized bodies of the University. With this in mind, the Equality Commis-
sioner (or if required one of her deputies) serves as an advisory member in all centralized bodies of the university. The Senate Committee on Gender Equality supports the centralized Equality Commissioner in the performance of her duties. In the faculties and higher education institutions for the arts, the decentralized Equality Commissioner perform analogous tasks.

The centralized Equality Commissioner receives material resources from the GuD Office required for her to fulfill her duties. As compensation for the capacities lost to her regular department due to her assumption of the role and/or to support her gender equality efforts, she is assigned a staff position (100 \% EG 13) for the duration of her term of office.

Other institutions also make a contribution to the measures to promote equality. As a centralized point of contact for students and employees, the Family Services Center at JGU provides advice and information on all aspects of reconciling studies/ work and family responsibilities, consolidating all available services. A dual-career advising service supports life partners of management personnel who have been newly recruited to JGU in reorienting themselves professionally in the region.

## 2. Target group-specific

 fields of action
## 21 Non-academic staff

### 2.1.1 Current situation analysis

## Employees

For non-academic staff, a number of issues related to gender equality are evident. In general

- Women are underrepresented in technical fields
- Women in administrative areas are more frequently employed on a fixed-term basis than men, and
- Female non-academic staff across the board are more likely to be employed part-time than male staff.


## Trainees and trainee public officials

Female technical trainees are underrepresented. The ratio of male to female trainee public officials is close to even.

## Women in leading positions

The chancellor, a woman, is currently the only female member of the Executive University Board (as such, comprises just $20 \%$ of the board).

The current data overview "Equality in Figures" does not (as yet) include data on the status and trends of the percentage of women in other non-academic leading positions.

### 2.1.2 Development targets and measures

The percentage of women in non-academic fields where they are underrepresented (technical professions, full-time positions, permanent positions, leading positions) is to be increased.

Sub-target: Increase the percentage of women in leading positions.
Approach: Increase diversity of perspectives, diversify role models, increase the external attractiveness of JGU.

## Measures:

(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Coaching for female employees and advising services for staff in leading positions focusing <br> on "gender and leadership". | PE, GuD | T4 |
| Increase transparency and gender sensitivity of selection processes | PE, GleiB | T2 |
| Participation of the Gender Equality Representative in all personnel selection procedures | GleiB | T1 |
| Formulate job postings expressly to attract applications from women | PA | T1 |

(b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Extend "Equality in Figures" to address the issue of "women in leading posi- <br> tions at JGU". | PuC | T1 | Yes |
| Enhanced recruitment procedures to attract female applicants in all areas <br> where women are underrepresented in leading positions to become routine <br> (amend constitution?) | PA, senior <br> staff, GleiB | T2 | None |

## Sub-target: Foster option of part-time leading positions.

Approach: Make leading positions more attractive, also for women, and improve work-life balance for all senior staff.

## Measures:

(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Create postings, also for leading positions, with the option of part-time employment | PA, GleiB | T4, T3 |

(b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Communicate the possibility of filling leading positions on a part-time basis <br> as an effective, accepted option | Senior <br> staff, PE | T4, T3 | None |

## Sub-target: Increase the percentage of women in technical roles.

Approach: Break down traditional role models, achieve performance gains through diversification of team composition, expand potential field of applicants in the light of a shortage of skilled workers

## Measures:

(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Increase transparency and gender sensitivity of selection processes | PE, GleiB | T2 |
| Participation of the Equality Commissioner in all personnel selection procedures | GleiB | T1 |
| Formulate job postings expressly to attract applications from women | PA, | T1 |

(b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Active routine efforts to recruit female applicants in appointment proce- <br> dures in all technical fields in which women are underrepresented (amend <br> constitution?) | Technical <br> services, PA, <br> GleiB | T1, T2, T4 | None |

Sub-target: Ensure personnel selection in non-academic areas is not compromised by gender stereotypes and hidden biases.

Approach: Professionalization of personnel selection and performance appraisal, reduction of male-biased co-optation, increase in diversity of perspectives, inclusion of all potentials

Measures:
(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Implementation of "Guidelines for effective work" [Leitlinien guter Arbeit] | Senior staff, PA | T1, T3 |
| Provision of individual advising services to raise awareness and avoidance of prejudice to- <br> wards groups | GuD | T1, T2, T3 |
| Raise awareness of hidden prejudices as part of leadership training at JGU | PE | T1, T2, T3 |

(b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Awareness-raising programs offered as part of the "Leadership Workshop" | PE | T1, T2, T3 | Yes |
| Reference in the planned new brochure to professional personnel selection | PE | T1, T2, T3 | None |

### 2.2 Students

### 2.2.1 Current situation analysis

## Students

- Women, who make up $60 \%$ of students, are overrepresented at JGU.
- They are particularly overrepresented in educa tional, theology, and translation, linguistics and cultural studies degree programs.
- Female students are underrepresented when it comes to sport and mathematics/computer science/natural science degree programs.


## Graduates

- With the exception of Faculty 08, the percentage of women graduating from all faculties and higher education institutions of the arts is greater than 50\% (in some cases significantly).


### 2.2.2 Development targets and measures

By reducing the aforementioned underrepresentation of men and women in various subjects, JGU could make a contribution to achieving true gender equality in society as a whole. However, the university has only a very limited influence on the subjects students choose to study.

## Sub-target: Subjects/subject groups with a marked under-representation of one gender are to be helped analyze the causes and to implement countermeasures.

Approach: Contribution to overcoming gender stereotypes, creation of role models, contribution to tackling the shortage of skilled staff

Measures:
(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Events to introduce girls of school age to and recruit female students for STEM subjects <br> ("Girls' Day" etc.) | Ada Lovelace <br> Program Of- <br> ficer | T1, T5 |
| Review of the format of selection processes and aptitude tests in subjects in which female <br> students are significantly underrepresented. | GleiB, SL | T1, T5 |

(b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gender-sensitive student marketing (national and international) | SL, INT, KOM, <br> GuD | T1, T5 | None |

Sub-target: Low-threshold access to advice services for students in cases of perceived breaches of gender equality obligations by JGU members.

Approach: Prevention of overt discrimination and recognition of the need to raise awareness to counter hidden biases, professionalization of advising services and efficiency gains through pooling of resources and skills for guidance on different dimensions of discrimination.

## Measures:

(a) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Establishment of an advice center as a pilot project, evaluation after initial <br> implementation period. | GuD | T1, T7 | Yes |
| Publicization of advising services, active encouragement to report perceived <br> discrimination | SL, GuD, FSB | T1, T3, T7 | None |

### 2.3 Young researchers and artists

### 2.3.1 Current situation analysis

- Currently, approx. 52\% of students gaining doctorates are women. In spite of annual fluctuations, this figure has been steadily increasing over the years. Nevertheless, seen against the backdrop of the percentage of women graduating (63\%), it is evident that qualified women are already being lost to academia by the time they reach the threshold of doctoral studies. However, the picture varies greatly depending on faculty and level of qualification. What is striking, for example, is the low percentage of female doctoral candidates in Law, Management and Economics (Faculty 03), which is not reflected in the comparatively low percentage of women graduating, as is the case in Faculty 08. The same applies to the faculties of Theology (Faculty 01) and Chemistry/Pharmaceutical Sciences/ Geosciences (Faculty 09); while the percentage of women earning doctorates is higher in these faculties, it does not come close to matching the comparatively high percentage of women graduating.
- Women currently account for just under $30 \%$ of all candidates for postdoctoral lecturing qualifications (habilitations). Here, too, the trend is positive, and the figures for habilitations also vary from subject to subject. However, even in subjects where women account for more than $50 \%$ of doctoral degrees (e.g. in the philology subjects), their percentage drops dramatically on reaching the next qualification level. (However, due to the small number of doctorates in these subjects, the percentage fluctuations tend to be high and the significance of the figures is, thus, low)


### 2.3.2 Development targets and measures

Improving the development and career options of young female researchers and counteracting the "leaky pipe" phenomenon requires targeted and subject-specific measures. The centralized measures provided need to be supplemented by decentralized measures adapted to the specific subjects in question, especially in those subjects where young female researchers are particularly underrepresented.

Sub-target: Human resources development tools for female academics and female artists en gaged in research in the intermediate phase of their careers are to be implemented

Approach: Increase the percentage of women in top academic positions

Measures:
(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| "Young Female Researchers" (ProWeWin) program to support strategic career planning of <br> young women researchers | GuD | T4, T6 |
| Subject-specific mentoring programs (CDP, MeMentUm, Ada Lovelace) | Various spon- <br> sors, FBe | T4, T6 |
| Positions in which young female researchers can obtain qualifications (ministry and JGU) | GuD | T4, T6 |
| Individual coaching services | GuD | T4, T6 |
| "Career prospects for post-docs" program | PE, GNK | T4, T6 |
| Evaluation of programs to promote women | ZQ | T4, T6 |

## Sub-target: Structural hurdles facing young female researchers are to be dismantled

Approach: Implementation of gender equality measures, increase in the percentage of women in top academic positions, full utilization of academic potential, reputational benefits for JGU

## Measures

(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibill <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Raise awareness of hidden prejudices as part of leadership training at JGU | PE | T1, T2, T3 |
| Improve the ability of students to reconcile advanced academic studies with family responsi- <br> bilities. | FSB | T3 |
| Increase transparency and gender sensitivity of selection processes | PE, GleiB | T2 |
| Participation of the Equality Commissioner in all personnel selection procedures | GleiB | T1 |
| Formulate job postings expressly to attract applications from women | PA | T1 |

(b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Development of awareness-raising programs on the subject of "unorthodox" <br> career paths | PE, GuD | T2, T6 | None |
| Evaluation of implementation of the agreed measures in the "Guidelines for <br> effective work" [Leitlinien guter Arbeit]" to mitigate precarious employment <br> conditions | ZQ | T1 | None |
| Address issues of "work-life balance" in academia in GRC and GYR (set up a <br> working group?) | GleiB, GYR, <br> GRC, GuD | T1, T3 | None |

## Sub-target: Individual dependency circumstances of young researchers and artists are to be

 minimizedApproach: Prevention of abuse of power, safeguarding against assault in the workplace, improvement of qualifications thanks to early academic independence.

## Measures:

(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Implementation of the "Guidelines for effective work" [Leitlinien guter Arbeit] and the guide- <br> lines to advance young researchers | Senior staff | T1, T6 |

## (b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Information materials on contact points in conflict situations as well as on <br> the rights and obligations of doctoral candidates, also in English | GYR, GuD | T7 | None |
| Addressing the issue of addiction within the GYR (establishment of a work- <br> ing group?) | GleiB, GYR | T1, T6, T7 | None |

[^0]
### 2.4 Academic staff

### 2.4.1 Current situation analysis

- The percentage of women professors (W1-W3) at JGU is currently 23.3 \% (excluding University Medical Center(UMC): 25.5 \%). While the largest increase within the last ten years has been in junior professorships - more than half of all W1 professorships were held by women in 2017 the success in reducing underrepresentation of women holding W2 and W3 professorships, while generally positive, has been extremely modest. The percentage of women holding W3 professorships has stagnated for years at approx. 20 \% (UMC: only slightly more than 10 \%). The percentage of women holding W2 professorships is just under 25 \%
- When it comes to new appointments, the percentage of women (excluding UMC) increased to 37.9 \% in 2017, placing JGU slightly above the national average and significantly above the current percentage of women holding professorships overall. Nevertheless, this is predominantly attributable to the high percentage of women (60 \%) holding W1 positions, and the figures overall are low.
- Consequently, despite the positive trend, a sig nificant disparity still exists between the percentage of young female researchers in the intermediate phase of their careers and their progress on to top academic positions. The "glass ceiling" as depicted by the Glass-Ceiling Index (GCI)² remains. In 2017, JGU was ranked as having an overall GCI of 2 (although values vary widely between faculties).
- Among remaining academic and artistic staff, the percentage of women has been relatively constant for years at over $40 \%$, which, in view of the fact that more than $60 \%$ of graduates and more than $50 \%$ of doctoral candidates are women, also warrants improvement


### 2.4.2 Development targets and measures

Due to the large differences among faculties/sub jects, more subject-specific analyses and mea sures are required, although these are supported by centralized measures

[^1]
## Sub-target: Gender-sensitive job postings and active recruitment of women for all academic

 positions where they are under-represented are to be establishedApproach: Implementation of gender equality obligations, elimination of barriers, increased diversity of perspectives, establishment of "role models"

## Measures:

(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guidelines on appointment procedures are being implemented | Senior staff, PB | T2 |
| Guidelines for gender-sensitive job postings are being implemented | PA, GleiB | T2 |
| Active recruitment of female applicants in appointment procedures to be institutionalized | Chair of BK | T1, T2 |

(b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Systematic recording of the status of implementation of the appointment <br> guidelines by the appointment officers. | Senior staff, <br> PB | T2 | None |
| As required, advice and support in implementation | PB, GleiB, GuD | T2 | None |

## Sub-target: Appointment procedures are to be conducted in a gender-sensitive manner

Approach: Implementation of gender equality obligations, elimination of barriers
Measures:
(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The relevance of equality guidelines in staffing procedures is to be being communicated and <br> conveyed | PB, senior staff | $\mathrm{T} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2$ |

(b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Professionalization programs for Equality Commissioner, evaluation of their <br> activities as well as of the framework conditions of their activities | ZQ, GuD, PE | T2 | Yes |

Sub-target: Tenure procedures to take into account equal opportunity criteria
Approach: Improved compatibility of career and family considerations, transparency of tenure-track professorship appointment procedures

Measures:
(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The evaluation criteria for tenure decisions should be taken into account special consider- <br> ations, in particular care of children and dependents. | PB, GuD, GleiB | T2 |
| The senate Equality Commissioner is to brief the faculty Equality Commissioner on issues <br> relating to evaluation of tenure-track professorships and, if necessary, provides them with <br> advice in specific individual cases | GleiB | T1 |

(b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A draft scheme for tenure-track professorships on a part-time basis is being <br> developed | PB, PE, GleiB, <br> GuD | T3 | None |

## 3. Superordinate fields of action

### 3.1 Sexual harassment and

 sexualized violenceThe Hochschulgesetz (HochSchG) and the Landesgleichstellungsgesetz (LGG) considers sexual harassment and sexualized violence to constitute a gender equality issue. Even if, factually, this is not
altogether plausible, it is a subject that must be addressed at this juncture.

The measures are geared toward prevention, information, counseling and support for those affected. The level of professionalization associated with these measures has been low to date, and further progress will require further funding.

### 3.2 Composition of university bodies

 The only way to ensure that women and men can participate equally in university self-governance is to appoint close-to equal numbers of men and women to university governing bodies. However, women are under-represented in many areas of the university, meaning that such appointmentswould place a disproportionately high burden on women to serve in such bodies. A more differentiated approach is therefore warranted: JGU is establishing measures to ensure at least proportional participation of women in governing bodies.

## Measures:

(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| As many women as men are to be appointed to university bodies (University Council, govern- <br> ing bodies GRC, GYK, GTC, etc.). | The President | T1 |
| In the case of electoral bodies and appointment committees, awareness is actively being <br> raised of the necessity to adequately take women into account in the groups' proposals. | Leadership lev- <br> el, deans, GleiB <br> (centralized <br> and decentral- <br> ized) | T1 |
| Accommodating family-related issues when scheduling meetings | Chairs of- <br> bodies | T3 |

(b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inclusion of a provision in the Constitution and the guidelines on appoint- <br> ment procedures on the subject of appropriate consideration of issues <br> relating to women on governing bodies. | Leadership <br> level, GleiB | T1 | None |

## 3.3 "Equality in Figures" - "Gender Moni-

 toring"A well-founded analysis of the status of equality at JGU is dependent on continuous provision of systematically prepared data ("Equality in Figures"). Beyond mere descriptive analysis of gender-related data, which in many respects still requires further elaboration, such data also need to be analyzed on a regular basis, both with respect to changes over time and in comparison with figures on a national level. In addition, equality-related instruments and measures need to be periodically

## Planned measure

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expansion of the existing "Equality in Figures" into a more comprehensive <br> "Gender Monitoring" report. | PuC, GuD, <br> GleiB | T1 | Yes |

### 3.4 Gender pay gap

The earnings gap between men and women overall in the public sector in Germany is approximately $6 \%^{3}$ (as yet no data on the university's own earnings gap are available). The causes of the "gender pay gap" are probably manifold. Due to the pay and grading structures prescribed for public sector workers, direct discrimination on the grounds of gender can be largely ruled out. Instead, indirect structural causes seem to be at play.
reviewed for their effectiveness. The JGU Reporting publishes its "Equality in Figures" on an annual basis, and this is to be successively expanded to become a more comprehensive "Gender Monitoring" report. On the one hand, this means the JGU Reporting will be compiling even more extensive and differentiated data, and on the other hand, the "Equality and Diversity" Office (GuD) and the Equality Commissioners (both centralized and decentralized) will be systematically analyzing the data on a regular basis.

- The most important general means of combating structural causes of the earnings gap are transparency and gender-sensitive implementation of all appointment procedures to counteract under-representation of women in the higher grades - the corresponding measures taken by JGU have been previously outlined under the various specific fields of action.

[^2]tude, development 2010-2014 and main influencing factors], Hamburg Institute of International Economics, HWWI Policy Paper 107 (online).

- The planned expansion of "Equality in Figures" is an indispensable measure (see above) to improve knowledge on why specific issues arise (for example, asymmetries in appointing young researchers to positions requiring social security contributions, scholarships, temporary and permanent positions, and full-time and parttime positions, etc.).


### 3.5 Implementation of the new German

 civil status actThe legal recognition of "diverse" genders also requires action on the part of the university. Implementation is so far still in the early stages.

## Measures:

(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Review of printed forms with a view to the employing gender-sensitive language and reduc- <br> ing the collection of gender-related information. | GuD, PA, Stud.- <br> Sek. | T1 |

(b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Installation/designation of toilets throughout the university with no gender <br> restrictions and, if required, corresponding washing and changing rooms <br> (especially in sports facilities). | Leadership <br> levels, , Proper- <br> ty Dept. | T1 | Yes |
| Development of recommendations for inclusive language (set up a WG) | GuD, ac. <br> personnel <br> with relevant <br> expertise | T1 | None |

### 3.6 Communicating equality and equal

 opportunity issuesThe visibility of JGU's diverse efforts to effectively implement gender equality, information about relevant contact points and services, and communication about related developments and ongoing discussions is still inadequate.

The upcoming relaunch of JGU's website should be a significant step towards improving this situation.

### 3.7 Cooperation programs

JGU's measures to promote equality also cover networking and cooperation beyond the university. To this end, JGU and/or its members working in the area of gender equality (GuD staff, Gender Equality Commissioners) currently belong to the following cooperation organizations and alliances.

- Bundeskonferenz der Frauen- und Gleichstellungsbeauftragten an Hochschulen e. V. (BuKoF)
- Landeskonferenz der Hochschulfrauen in Rheinland-Pfalz (LaKoF RLP)
- Bundeskonferenz der Frauen- und Gleichstellungsbeauftragten an Hochschulen e. V.
- Focus Frauen - Arbeitskreis Fachhochschulen
- Forum Mentoring in der Wissenschaft
- Frauenbündnis Rheinland-Pfalz
- Zentrum für Kompetenzentwicklung für Diversity Management in Studium und Lehre
- Netzwerk Diversity an Hochschulen
3.8 Reconciling study, career and family obligations
The JGU places a high priority on reconciling work/ study and family life (in its many forms). By joining the Familie in der Hochschule (family and higher education) network in 2016 and signing the Familie in der Hochschule charter, JGU has explicit-


## Measures

(a) Currently being implemented

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target per <br> framework <br> plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The Family Services Center, which provides information and advice on reconciling study/ <br> work and family life and on caring for family members, should be available to employees and <br> students responsible for members of their family. | FSB | T3 |
| Partnerships with daycare centers for students and employees. |  |  |
| Additional child care facilities (care during emergencies, temporary care, care during school <br> vacations, etc.) for students and employees. | HL | T3 |
| The opportunity to work from home is governed by a staff agreement. | PA | T3 |
| Parent-child rooms and (at some sites) rest, diaper-changing, and breastfeeding rooms are <br> being set up, regularly checked, and are adapted to requirements. | HL, deans, FSB | T3 |

## (b) Planned

| Measure | Responsibil- <br> ity | Target | Additional <br> resources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Further development of family-oriented working conditions through the <br> introduction of long-term work accounts. | HL | T3 | None |
| Dual-career advising will be extended and consolidated. | HL | T1, T3 | None |

ly committed itself to complying with appropriate standards. All institutions at the university, but in particular the Executive University Board and the Family Services Center, are responsible for further developing and implementing goal-oriented measures.

| List of abbreviations |
| :--- |
| PE Human Resources Development <br> GuD Equality and Diversity Office <br> GleiB Equality Commissioner <br> PA Human Resources <br> PuC JGU Reporting <br> SL Academic Affairs <br> INT International Office <br> KOM Press and Communication <br> FSB Family Services Center <br> GNK Gutenberg Council for Young Researchers <br> ZQ Center for Quality Assurance and Development <br> BK appointment commitee <br> HL Executive University Board |

# Equality <br> in Figures <br> 2022 
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Annex II to the Framework Plan for Gender Equality at Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz (JGU)

## JGU global overview - academic career levels

| 2022 | TOTAL without University Medical Center |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | M. | F. | F. in \% |
| Students (first semester) | 11.499 | 4.547 | 6.952 | $60 \%$ |
| Of which, Bachelor | 7.472 | 3.152 | 4.320 | $58 \%$ |
| Of which, Master | 3.240 | 1.142 | 2.098 | $65 \%$ |
| Of which, State exam | 558 | 181 | 377 | $68 \%$ |
| Of which, other degrees | 229 | 72 | 157 | $69 \%$ |
| Number of graduates per year | 4.430 | 1.686 | 2.744 | $62 \%$ |
| Of which, Bachelor | 2.439 | 917 | 1.522 | $62 \%$ |
| Of which, Master | 1.609 | 630 | 979 | $61 \%$ |
| Of which, State exam | 313 | 112 | 201 | $64 \%$ |
| Of which, other degrees | 69 | 27 | 42 | $61 \%$ |
| Doctoral candidates | 2.659 | 1.287 | 1.372 | $52 \%$ |
| Number of doctorates per year | 324 | 170 | 154 | $48 \%$ |
| Academic staff | 2.170 | 1.234 | 936 | $43 \%$ |
| Academic staff without a doctorate | 1.452 | 798 | 654 | $45 \%$ |
| Of which, state-funded | 785 | 390 | 395 | $50 \%$ |
| Of which, third-party funded | 667 | 408 | 259 | $39 \%$ |
| Academic staff with a doctorate | 718 | 436 | 282 | $39 \%$ |
| Of which, state-funded | 513 | 306 | 207 | $40 \%$ |
| Of which, third-party funded | 205 | 130 | 75 | $37 \%$ |
| Postdoctoral lecturing qualifications (habilitations) | 19 | 9 | 9 | $47 \%$ |
| Professorships | 446 | 304 | 142 | $32 \%$ |
| Of which, junior professorships (W 1) | 40 | 14 | 26 | $65 \%$ |
| Of which, tenure track (W1) | 15 | 5 | 10 | $67 \%$ |
| Of which, w2 professorships | 197 | 132 | 65 | $33 \%$ |
| Of which, tenure track (W2) | 3 | 1 | 2 | $67 \%$ |
| Of which, w3 professorships | 158 | 51 | $24 \%$ |  |


| University Medical Center Mainz |  |  |  | TOTALS incl. University Medical Center Mainz |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | M. | F. | F. in \% | Total | M. | F. | F. in \% |
| 594 | 194 | 400 | 67\% | 12.093 | 4.741 | 7.352 | 61\% |
| - | - | - | - | 7.472 | 3.152 | 4.320 | 58\% |
| 36 | 12 | 24 | 67\% | 3.276 | 1.154 | 2.122 | 65\% |
| 557 | 182 | 375 | 67\% | 1.115 | 363 | 752 | 67\% |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 230 | 72 | 158 | 69\% |
| 530 | 191 | 339 | 64\% | 4.960 | 1.877 | 3.083 | 62\% |
| - | - | - | - | 2.439 | 917 | 1.522 | 62\% |
| 54 | 14 | 40 | 74\% | 1.663 | 644 | 1.019 | 61\% |
| 476 | 177 | 299 | 63\% | 789 | 289 | 500 | 63\% |
| - | - | - | - | 69 | 27 | 42 | 61\% |
| 1.437 | 522 | 915 | 64\% | 4.096 | 1.809 | 2.287 | 56\% |
| 275 | 94 | 181 | 66\% | 599 | 264 | 335 | 56\% |
| 1.900 | 892 | 1.008 | 53\% | 4.070 | 2.126 | 1.944 | 48\% |
| 745 | 327 | 418 | 56\% | 2.197 | 1.125 | 1.072 | 49\% |
| 487 | 236 | 251 | 52\% | 1.272 | 626 | 646 | 51\% |
| 258 | 91 | 167 | 65\% | 925 | 499 | 426 | 46\% |
| 1.155 | 565 | 590 | 51\% | 1.873 | 1.001 | 872 | 47\% |
| 1.010 | 509 | 501 | 50\% | 1.523 | 815 | 708 | 46\% |
| 145 | 56 | 89 | 61\% | 350 | 186 | 164 | 47\% |
| 17 | 11 | 6 | 35\% | 36 | 20 | 15 | 42\% |
| 135 | 107 | 28 | 21\% | 581 | 411 | 170 | 29\% |
| 6 | 5 | 1 | 17\% | 46 | 19 | 27 | 59\% |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | 20\% | 20 | 9 | 11 | 55\% |
| 69 | 51 | 18 | 26\% | 266 | 183 | 83 | 31\% |
| 12 | 6 | 6 | 50\% | 15 | 7 | 8 | 53\% |
| 60 | 51 | 9 | 15\% | 269 | 209 | 60 | 22\% |

## JGU global overview - academic career levels

| 2022 | TOTAL without University Medical Center |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | M. | F. | F. in \% |
| New appointments to professorships | 21 | 9 | 12 | $57 \%$ |
| Of which, junior professorships (W1) | 8 | 2 | 6 | $75 \%$ |
| Of which, W2 professorships | 4 | 1 | 3 | $75 \%$ |
| Of which, W3 professorships | 9 | 6 | 3 | $33 \%$ |
| Leadership positions: highest level (Executive University Board) | - | - | - | - |
| Deans, vice-deans | 33 | 23 | 10 | $30 \%$ |
| University Senate | - | - | - | - |
| University Council | - | - | - | - |


| University Medical Center Mainz |  |  |  | TOTALS incl. University Medical Center Mainz |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | M. | F. | F. in $\%$ | Total | M. | F. | F. in $\%$ |
| 9 | 5 | 4 | $44 \%$ | 30 | 14 | 16 | $53 \%$ |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | $50 \%$ | 10 | 3 | 7 | $70 \%$ |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | $50 \%$ | 8 | 3 | 5 | $63 \%$ |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | $33 \%$ | 12 | 8 | 4 | $33 \%$ |
| - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 1 | $25 \%$ |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 36 | 26 | 10 | $28 \%$ |
| - | - | - | - | 44 | 25 | 19 | $43 \%$ |
| - | - | - | - | 10 | 4 | 6 | $60 \%$ |

Data sources:
Students: JGU Reporting, Data Warehouse JGU - Reporting dates extracted from CampusNet, academic year 2022/2023, without Doctoral candi-
Graduates: JGU Reporting, Data Warehouse JGU - Mergers of reporting from examination offices, Prüfungsjahr 2022.
Doctorates: JGU Reporting, Data Warehouse JGU - Mergers of reporting from examination offices
Doctoral candidates: JGU Reporting, Data Warehouse JGU - Reporting dates extracted from CampusNet.
Postdoctoral lecturing qualifications (habilitations): JGU Reporting, Data Warehouse JGU - Mergers of reporting from examination offices

Data sources:
Staff: JGU Reporting, Data Warehouse JGU - Reporting dates extracted from MACH WPM and reporting from the UMC. New appointments of professorships: JGU Reporting, data basis: Mergers of reporting from the HR department and the UMC Deans and vice-deans: JGU Reporting, Mergers of reporting dates from Deaneries
University Council and University Senate: JGU Reporting, Reporting from the presidential area..

JGU in total -Percentage of women in the academic career levels - Comparison of JGU faculties in terms of percentages

| 2022 | Percentages of women in the faculties |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F 01 | F 02 | F 03 | F 04 |
| Students (first semester) | 69\% | 64\% | 50\% | 67\% |
| Of which, Bachelor | 59\% | 61\% | 42\% | - |
| Of which, Master | 86\% | 69\% | 52\% | 67\% |
| Of which, State exam | - | 91\% | 64\% | 67\% |
| Of which, other degrees | 67\% | 78\% | 53\% | 100\% |
| Percentage of graduates per year | 59\% | 70\% | 51\% | 64\% |
| Of which, Bachelor | 75\% | 71\% | 46\% | - |
| Of which, Master | 64\% | 68\% | 50\% | 74\% |
| Of which, State exam | - | 78\% | 63\% | 63\% |
| Of which, other degrees | 44\% | - | 40\% | - |
| Doctoral candidates | 56\% | 65\% | 50\% | 64\% |
| Number of doctorates per year | 44\% | 63\% | 33\% | 66\% |
| Academic staff | 47\% | 53\% | 48\% | 53\% |
| Academic staff without a doctorate | 42\% | 57\% | 49\% | 56\% |
| Of which, state-funded | 54\% | 59\% | 46\% | 52\% |
| Of which, third-party funded | 17\% | 53\% | 80\% | 65\% |
| Academic staff with a doctorate | 53\% | 46\% | 36\% | 51\% |
| Of which, state-funded | 50\% | 44\% | 44\% | 50\% |
| Of which, third-party funded | 60\% | 64\% | 0\% | 61\% |
| Postdoctoral lecturing qualifications (habilitations) | 50\% | 67\% | - | 35\% |
| Professorships | 17\% | 45\% | 20\% | 21\% |
| Of which, junior professorships (W 1) | - | 83\% | 100\% | 17\% |
| Of which, tenure track (W1) | - | - | 100\% | 20\% |
| Of which, W2 professorships | 50\% | 43\% | 17\% | 26\% |
| Of which, tenure track (W2) | 100\% | - | - | 50\% |
| Of which, W3 professorships | 14\% | 27\% | 17\% | 15\% |


| Percentages of women in the faculties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F 05 | F 06 | F 07 | F 08 | F 09 | F 10 | HSM | MAA |
| 69\% | 77\% | 57\% | 35\% | 55\% | 69\% | 54\% | 75\% |
| 66\% | 79\% | 56\% | 32\% | 53\% | 68\% | 53\% | 90\% |
| 75\% | 73\% | 61\% | 41\% | 52\% | 71\% | 60\% | 100\% |
| - | - | - | - | 77\% | - | - | - |
| 83\% | 79\% | 20\% | 0\% | 60\% | 75\% | 46\% | 61\% |
| 77\% | 83\% | 57\% | 35\% | 50\% | 71\% | 51\% | 81\% |
| 77\% | 83\% | 62\% | 33\% | 45\% | 72\% | 42\% | 81\% |
| 78\% | 83\% | 49\% | 38\% | 51\% | 68\% | 50\% | 81\% |
| - | - | - | - | 62\% | - | - |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 65\% | 89\% |
| 63\% | 73\% | 66\% | 21\% | 42\% | 61\% | 44\% | 83\% |
| 79\% | 100\% | 58\% | 17\% | 40\% | 60\% | 0\% | - |
| 65\% | 66\% | 52\% | 22\% | 35\% | 46\% | 37\% | 50\% |
| 70\% | 70\% | 62\% | 22\% | 37\% | 51\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| 68\% | 68\% | 60\% | 16\% | 43\% | 48\% | 31\% | 33\% |
| 76\% | 100\% | 63\% | 24\% | 30\% | 52\% | 50\% | - |
| 60\% | 61\% | 45\% | 21\% | 28\% | 37\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 64\% | 62\% | 39\% | 17\% | 24\% | 36\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 36\% | 50\% | 55\% | 24\% | 39\% | 38\% | - | - |
| 100\% | 0\% | 50\% | - | 0\% | - | - | - |
| 41\% | 62\% | 46\% | 12\% | 25\% | 38\% | 30\% | 64\% |
| 63\% | 100\% | 75\% | 20\% | 43\% | 100\% | - | - |
| 67\% | - | 75\% | 100\% | 40\% | 100\% | - | - |
| 40\% | 43\% | 47\% | 14\% | 28\% | 42\% | 25\% | 55\% |
| - | - | 100\% | 0\% | - | - | - | - |
| 35\% | 80\% | 38\% | 9\% | 18\% | 31\% | 67\% | 100\% |

## JGU in total -Percentage of women in the academic career levels - Comparison of JGU

## faculties in terms of percentages

| 2022 | Percentages of women in the faculties |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | F 01 | F 02 | F 03 | F 04 |
| New appointments to professorships in year1) | $100 \%$ | $67 \%$ | - | $44 \%$ |
| Of which, junior professorships (W1) | - | $75 \%$ | - | $50 \%$ |
| Of which, W2 professorships | - | $100 \%$ | - | $50 \%$ |
| Of which, W3 professorships | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | - | $33 \%$ |
| Leading positions: middle and highest level (deans, vice-deans) | $25 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| Percentages of women in the faculties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| F 05 | F 06 | F 07 | F 08 | F 09 | F 10 | HSM | MAA |  |
| $75 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $0 \%$ | - | - |  |
| $67 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - | - | - | $100 \%$ | $50 \%$ | - | - | - |  |
| $100 \%$ | - | $100 \%$ | - | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | - | - |  |
| $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |

Data sources:
Students: JGU Reporting, Data Warehouse JGU - Reporting dates extracted from CampusNet, academic year 2022/2023, without Doctoral candidates.
Graduates: JGU Reporting, Data Warehouse JGU - Mergers of reporting from examination offices, Prüfungsjahr 2022.
Doctorates: JGU Reporting, Data Warehouse JGU - Mergers of reporting from examination offices
Doctoral candidates: JGU Reporting, Data Warehouse JGU - Reporting dates extracted from CampusNet.
ostdoctoral lecturing qualifications (habilitations): JGU Reporting, Data Warehouse JGU - Mergers of reporting from examination office Staff: IGU Reporting Data Warehouse JGU - Reporting dates extracted from MACH WPM and reporting from the UMC
New appointments of professorships: JGU Reporting, data basis: Mergers of reporting from the HR department and the UMC, Deans and vice-deans: JGU Reporting, Mergers of reporting dates from Deaneries.
University Council and University Senate: JGU Reporting, Reporting from the presidential area.

## Percentage of women in different academic career levels in 2021

| 2021 | Percentage of women |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | JGU |  |
| Students (first semester) | National average |  |
| Graduates | $61 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Number of doctorates per year | $52 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| Academic staff | $48 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| Postdoctoral lecturing qualifications (habilita- <br> tions) | $42 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Professorships | $29 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Of which, junior professorships (W1) | $59 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Of which, W2 professorships | $31 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Of which, W3 professorships | $22 \%$ | $30 \%$ |

[^3]
## Glass Ceiling Index for women - a relative index showing the proportion of

 women holding professorships of the total of female academic/artistic staff in general| Faculties of JGU, UM and higher education institutions of the <br> arts <br> 2022 | Number of professorships <br> (excluding junior professorships) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | F. | F. in $\%$ |
| F 01 - Catholic Theology and Protestant Theology | 24 | 4 | $17 \%$ |
| F 02 - Social Sciences, Media, and Sports | 57 | 21 | $37 \%$ |
| F 03 - Law, Management and Economics | 47 | 8 | $17 \%$ |
| F 04 - University Medical Center | 129 | 27 | $21 \%$ |
| F 05 - Philosophy and Philology | 48 | 18 | $38 \%$ |
| F 06 - Translation Studies, Linguistics, and Cultural Studies (FTSK) | 12 | 7 | $58 \%$ |
| F 07 - History and Cultural Studies | 31 | 13 | $42 \%$ |
| F 08 - Physics, Mathematics, and Computer Science | 69 | 8 | $12 \%$ |
| F09 - Chemistry, Pharmaceutical Sciences, and Geosciences | 46 | 10 | $22 \%$ |
| F10 - Biology | 25 | 9 | $36 \%$ |
| Mainz School of Music | 27 | 8 | $30 \%$ |
| Mainz Academy of Fine Arts | 14 | 9 | $64 \%$ |
| All faculties, higher education institutions of the arts, and the Univer- <br> sity Medical Center Mainz. Excluding central administration, central <br> facilities, other facilities, specialist research centers (e.g. CRCs) | 529 | 142 | $27 \%$ |


| Number of academic/artistic staff, state and <br> third-party funded (incl. profs.) |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | F. | Glass Ceiling Index: <br> ratio of percentage female acad. staff/ <br> percentage women professorships ${ }^{11}$ |  |
| 60 | 21 | $35 \%$ | 2,10 |
| 326 | 167 | $51 \%$ | 1,39 |
| 211 | 88 | $42 \%$ | 2,45 |
| 2035 | 1036 | $51 \%$ | 2,43 |
| 269 | 162 | $60 \%$ | 1,61 |
| 130 | 85 | $65 \%$ | 1,12 |
| 170 | 86 | $51 \%$ | 1,21 |
| 559 | 115 | $21 \%$ | 1,77 |
| 415 | 140 | $34 \%$ | 1,55 |
| 223 | 101 | $45 \%$ | 1,26 |
| 46 | 15 | $33 \%$ | 1,10 |
| 18 | 11 | $61 \%$ | 0,95 |
| 4462 | 2027 | $45 \%$ | 1,69 |

Calculated in accordance with the definition of the European Commission: The Glass Ceiling Index (GCI) is a relative index comparing the pro portion of women in academia (grades A, B, and C) with the proportion of women in top academic positions (grade A positions; equivalent to full professors in most countries ) in a given year. The GCI can range from o to infinity. A GCI of indicates that there is no difference between A level than in academia generally (grades A, B, and C) and a GCI score of more than 1 indicates the presence of a glass ceiling effect, meaning that women are less represented in grade A positions than in academia generally (grades A, B, and C). In other words, when it comes to interpreting the Gc1, the higher the value, the stronger the glass celing effect and the more difficult it is for women to move into a higher
position. (httt://ec.europa.eu/research/swafs/pdf/pub_gender_equality/she_figures_2015-final.pdf, Seite 137 , Download am 21.11.2016).

The Class Ceiling Index may be subject to rounding differences.
Staff data excluding the cost centers of the collaborative research centers, specialist research centers (e.g. Prisma), research training groups, and AKs.
Source: JGU Reporting, JGU Datawarehouse
Reporting date for staff figures: 1 December

## Third-party funded expenditures

| 2022 | Third-party funded expenditures 2022 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | M. | F. | F. in \% |
| F 01 - Catholic Theology and Protestant Theology | 817.900 € | $681.600 €$ | $136.300 €$ | 17\% |
| F 02 - Social Sciences, Media, and Sports | 5.107.500€ | $2.812 .800 €$ | 2.294.700 € | 45\% |
| F 03 - Law, Management and Economics | 1.212.200€ | $964.800 €$ | $247.400 €$ | 20\% |
| F 04 - University Medical Center Mainz | $59.814 .000 €$ | $47.408 .200 €$ | 12.405.900 € | 21\% |
| F 05 - Philosophy and Philology | $3.635 .600 €$ | 2.142 .400 € | 1.493.200 € | 41\% |
| F 06 - Translation Studies, Linguistics, and Cultural Studies (FTSK) | $937.000 €$ | $360.400 €$ | $576.600 €$ | 62\% |
| F 07 - History and Cultural Studies | 7.108.800 € | 3.859.100€ | 3.249.800 € | 46\% |
| F 08 - Physics, Mathematics, and Computer Science | $36.660 .700 €$ | $32.202 .000 €$ | $4.458 .700 €$ | 12\% |
| F 09 - Chemistry, Pharmaceutical Sciences, and Geosciences | 14.493.900 € | 10.938.800 € | 3.555.100 € | 25\% |
| F 10 - Biology | 10.574.800€ | 6.507.600 € | 4.067.200€ | 38\% |
| Mainz School of Music | $88.300 €$ | $62.100 €$ | $26.200 €$ | 30\% |
| Mainz Academy of Fine Arts | $26.300 €$ | 9.400 € | 16.900 € | 64\% |
| Administration | 17.969.900 € | - | - | - |
| Centralized institutions | 158.446.900 € | 112.497.300€ | 45.949.600€ | 29\% |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| JGU total (incl. UMC) |  |  |  |  |

source: JGU Reporting, JGU DataWarehouse.
Notes: fiscal year. The GRK's third-party funding expenditures are distributed among the participating faculties.

## New appointments of professorships in 2022

| 2022 | No. of appointments |  |  |  | Of which, junior professorships |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | M. | F. | F. in \% | Total | M. | F. | F. in \% |
| F 01 - Catholic Theology and Protestant Theology | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| F 02 - Social Sciences, Media, and Sports | 6 | 2 | 4 | 67\% | 4 | 1 | 3 | 75\% |
| F 03 - Law, Management and Economics | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| F 04 - University Medical Center | 9 | 5 | 4 | 44\% | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50\% |
| F 05 - Philosophy and Philology | 4 | 1 | 3 | 75\% | 3 | 1 | 2 | 67\% |
| F 06 - Translation Studies, Linguistics, and Cultural Studies (FTSK) | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| F 07 - History and Cultural Studies | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
| F 08 - Physics, Mathematics, and Computer Science | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| F 09 - Chemistry, Pharmaceutical Sciences, and Geosciences | 6 | 5 | 1 | 17\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| F 10 - Biology | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mainz School of Music | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Mainz Academy of Fine Arts | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| JGU total (incl. UMC) | 30 | 14 | 16 | 53\% | 10 | 3 | 7 | 70\% |

Important: Individuals who have received more than one appointment in a given year are counted just one time in this table
Source: JGU Reporting, data source: Reporting from the HR department and reporting from the UMC. Campus: calendar year: refers to the date official status, Including appointments without competitive application processes. trions/staff increases/transfers from employee to publ

Non-academic staff in full-time equivalents excluding the University Medical Center (state and third-party funded)

| 2022 | Total | M. | F. | F. in $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL in FTEs | 341,8 | 240,4 | 101,4 | $30 \%$ |
| Of which, in technical fields | 18,4 | 15,4 | 3,0 | $16 \%$ |
| Upper grade | 133,1 | 95,3 | 37,7 | $28 \%$ |
| Senior grade | 185,3 | 127,7 | 57,6 | $31 \%$ |
| Intermediate grade | 5,0 | 2,0 | 3,0 | $60 \%$ |
| Basic grade | 783,9 | 217,7 | 566,2 | $72 \%$ |
| Of which, in administration | 241,2 | 85,1 | 156,0 | $65 \%$ |
| Upper grade | 206,7 | 63,6 | 143,1 | $69 \%$ |
| Senior grade | 319,1 | 59,5 | 259,6 | $81 \%$ |
| Intermediate grade | 17,0 | 9,5 | 7,5 | $44 \%$ |
| Basic grade | 362,2 | 199,0 | 163,2 | $45 \%$ |
| Of which, others (library staff, non-ac. <br> aux. staff, etc.) | 32,1 | 18,3 | 13,8 | $43 \%$ |
| Upper grade | 66,9 | 18,0 | 48,9 | $73 \%$ |
| Senior grade | 157,6 | 109,6 | 48,1 | $31 \%$ |
| Intermediate grade | 40,9 | 23,1 | 17,8 | $43 \%$ |
| Basic grade | 64,8 | 30,0 | 34,8 | $54 \%$ |
| Trainees | $1.487,9$ | 657,1 | 830,8 | $56 \%$ |
| JGU total (excl. UMC) |  |  |  |  |

FTEs may be subject to rounding differences. Source: JGU Reporting, JGU DataWarehouse. Reporting date for staff figures: 1 December

| 2022 | Total | M. | F. | F. in \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL in FTEs | 341,8 | 240,4 | 101,4 | $30 \%$ |
| Of which, in technical fields | 55,8 | 22,4 | 33,4 | $60 \%$ |
| Full-time | 286,0 | 218,0 | 68,0 | $24 \%$ |
| Part-time | 783,9 | 217,7 | 566,2 | $72 \%$ |
| Of which, in administration | 256,0 | 37,7 | 218,3 | $85 \%$ |
| Full-time | 527,9 | 180,0 | 347,9 | $66 \%$ |
| Part-time | 362,2 | 199,0 | 163,2 | $45 \%$ |
| Of which, others (library staff, non-ac. <br> aux. staff, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 59,4 | 15,0 | 44,4 | $75 \%$ |
| Part-time | 302,9 | 184,0 | 118,9 | $39 \%$ |
| JGU total (excl. UMC) | $1.487,9$ | 657,1 | 830,8 | $56 \%$ |


| 2021 | Total | M. | F. | F. in \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL in FTEs | 341,8 | 240,4 | 101,4 | $30 \%$ |
| Of which, in technical fields | 22,7 | 17,5 | 5,2 | $23 \%$ |
| Fixed-term | 319,0 | 222,9 | 96,1 | $30 \%$ |
| Permanent | 783,9 | 217,7 | 566,2 | $72 \%$ |
| Of which, in administration | 118,8 | 38,4 | 80,4 | $68 \%$ |
| Fixed-term | 665,2 | 179,3 | 485,9 | $73 \%$ |
| Permanent | 362,2 | 199,0 | 163,2 | $45 \%$ |
| Of which, others (library staff, non-ac. <br> aux. staff, etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed-term | 86,8 | 39,2 | 47,6 | $55 \%$ |
| Permanent | 275,5 | 159,8 | 115,7 | $42 \%$ |
| JGU total (excl. UMC) | $1.487,9$ | 657,1 | 830,8 | $56 \%$ |

Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz 55099 Mainz
www.uni-mainz.de


[^0]:    1 Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz (pub.) (2016): Leitlinien guter Arbeit https://www.uni-mainz.de/downloads//GU leitlinien guter arbeit.pdf.

[^1]:    2 The GCI is a relative index comparing the proportion of women holding professorships (excluding W 1 ) to the proportion of women in
    

[^2]:    3 Boll, Christina/Lagemann, Andreas (2018): Verdienstlücke zwischen Männern und Frauen im öffentlichen Bereich und in der Privatwirtschaft Höhe, Entwicklung 2010-2014 und Haupteinflussfaktoren [The earnings gap between men and women in the public and private sectors - magni

[^3]:    Data sources: Federal statistical office: statistical reports on higher education statitics

